

### Simulated User Bots: Real Time Testing of Insider Threat Detection Systems

Preetam K. Dutta, Gabe Ryan, Alek Zieba and Salvatore J. Stolfo





Finding an Insider Threat is an established problem: But, does the system *work*?

### **Simulated User Bots (SUBs)**

are *in situ* automated users that emulate the actions of real users and can be used to test and evaluate deployed detection systems.

### Goals

Use Data Sets to Derive Meaningful Statistics

Create Simulated Users Bots

Validate models and learn parameters

# What are the Parts?

- QEMU (Quick Emulator)
  - Open source and emulates a full system, including a processor



- KVM (Kernel-based Virtual Machine)
  - Virtualization infrastructure for Linux kernel that turns it into a hypervisor

- VNCDoTool (a Python Library)
  - Automate input through VNC connections

# What functionality is supported?



- Opening an app
- Visiting a website
- Performing a web search
- Sending an email
- Copying & pasting
- User Login
- Etc.

### What does a SUB look like?

Basic Simulated User Bot (SUB) Example Running Ubuntu 16.04

### What is the SUB Framework?



# Synthetic Environment: Identify Problem

Threat Type	Behavior	Indicator	Detector
Individuals with abnormal work habits	Uses a work- owned machine outside of normal work hours (i.e., 12AM-7AM EST) at work, at home, or at remote sites	Has anti-virus updates between 12AM and 7AM EST	At least 1 anti-virus log entry for definition updates between 12AM and 7AM EST
		In the top 5% of the frequency distribution of VPN activity between 12AM and 7AM EST	At least 28 VPN log records showing a connection that started between 12AM and 7AM EST
		In the top 5% of the frequency distribution of workstation logins between 12AM and 7AM EST	At least 128 ADDC log entries with timestamps between 12AM and 7AM EST
		In the top 5% of the frequency distribution of email activity between 12AM and 7AM EST	At least 24 email log records for outbound emails sent between 12AM and 7AM EST
		In the top 5% of the frequency distribution of website activity between 12AM and 7AM EST	At least 24,500 proxy log entries with a timestamp between 12AM and 7AM EST
Individuals with abnormally large data transfers	Uses a work- owned machine for abnormally	In the top 5% of the frequency distribution of attempted access to prohibited file sharing websites	At least 2000 proxy log entries of attempted access to prohibited file sharing websites
		In the top 5% of the frequency distribution of large emails sent	At least 3 emails with attachments larger than 5 MB
		In the top 5% of the frequency distribution of VPN sessions that transfer large amounts of data	At least 7 VPN sessions where at least 210 MB are transferred

Adopted from the IARPA SCITE Program

# Synthetic Environment: Identify Problem



Adopted from the IARPA SCITE Program

# Synthetic Environment: Problem

#### Indicators:

- 1. In the top 5 percent of the daily frequency average distribution of Google or Bing searches between 5:00:01 PM and 6:59:59 AM EST
- 2. In the top 5 percent of the daily frequency average distribution of social media website visits between 5:00:01 PM and 6:59:59 AM EST
- 3. In the top 5 percent of the daily frequency average distribution of actions on files and documents between 5:00:01 PM and 6:59:59 AM EST

#### Detectors:

- 1. At least 13 log entries for a Google or Bing search between 5:00:01 PM and 6:59:59 AM EST.
- 2. At least 61 log entries for a social media website visit between 5:00:01 PM and 6:59:59 AM EST.
- 3. At least 90 log entries for actions on files and documents between 5:00:01 PM and 6:59:59 AM EST.

### Synthetic Environment: Data



Contains over 60 users (~18 GB of data with roughly 1 million records per user) whose actions performed were monitored.

### Synthetic Environment: Setup



Gaussian Mixture Model

Support Vector Machine

**Bayesian Network** 

### Synthetic Environment: Results



# Live Testing: Columbia University



- Decentralized management structure
- Over 100,000 network nodes
- Over 55,000 MAC addresses active on average
- No sniffing traffic or scanning machines permitted
- No university wide firewalls
- Approximately 80,000 active email addresses

# Live Testing: Columbia University

Low and Slow Attack

**for** i in {1..2000};

**do** ssh -i ~/.ssh/aws-key.pem gryan@67.80.188.110; sleep 15m;

done;



# Conclusions

- 1. SUBs have the ability to mimic the behaviors & actions of real users with no interference of normal system operations.
- 2. Successfully able to design experiments to show that we could inject malicious activities such that a normal user appears to act as a malicious user.
- 3. Validated the risk of low & slow attacks, which underscores the importance of the health and boundaries of an intrusion detection system

### **Future Work**

- 1. Find a Corporate Environment to test efficacy of SUBs
- 2. Test new Indicator and Detectors to improve IDS
- 3. Test the robustness of other technologies such as decoys

# **QUESTIONS?**

